Comparison of hard- and soft-tissue changes using a superimposition technique: A prospective case series study

Abstract

Objective

This prospective case series study reports on a novel comprehensive method using digitized model casts and a superimposition technique to allow an objective evaluation of the hard- and soft-tissue parameters.

Materials and methods

Any patients requiring all-ceramic restoration of the anterior maxillary teeth were recruited and treated between January 2014 and December 2014. No inclusion or exclusion criteria were considered. Soft-tissue level changes were measured on the casts taken before and after treatment, using a novel digital technique.

Results

Eight patients with a total of 34 all-ceramic veneer restorations were treated. One year after delivery of the definitive restorations, no complications were observed (fracture, wear, chipping or debonding). Mean soft-tissue levels improved between bonding and the one-year follow-up examination. The mean height of the mesial and distal papillary changes was 0.64 ± 0.31 and 0.47 ± 0.28, respectively.

Conclusion

The technique presented offers an objective evaluation of the hard- and soft-tissue changes, and it could complement previously established methods.
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Introduction

Esthetic outcomes and patient satisfaction have become the main focus of interest in esthetically sensitive areas.1, 2 The level and thickness of the soft tissue, as well as its color and texture, are decisive for the natural appearance of an implant-supported restoration.3

Over the last 20 years, the evaluation of the success of tooth- and implant-supported restorations has shifted from judging only the survival rate of the restoration itself to additionally assessing whether an esthetic appearance similar to the adjacent teeth has been achieved. Today, the main concern is whether the surrounding bone architecture and soft-tissue texture and color can precisely and biomimetically emulate nature.4 Assessment of esthetically successful treatment outcomes is validated clinically by several objective periodontal and esthetic parameters.5–7 However, there is still a lack of clinical comparative studies in the current literature regarding objective outcome evaluation from an esthetic perspective.8–13

In 2004, the International Team for Implantology presented a treatment guide to provide clinicians with practical and evidence-based clinical instructions for implant restorations in the esthetic zone.14 Successful tissue integration and pleasing esthetic outcomes after the application of this treatment protocol have been reported in retrospective15 and prospective16 case series studies. Jemt proposed an index, termed the Papilla Index, to assess the size and volume of the interproximal papillae adjacent to a single tooth. The index defines five distinct levels, ranging from the complete absence of papillary tissue (index score of 0) to hyperplastic papillae (index score of 4).17 Meijer et al. published the Implant Crown Aesthetic Index, which stipulates criteria related to the implant restoration itself and those associated with the surrounding soft tissue.5 Fürhauser et al. proposed an index, termed the Pink Esthetic Score (PES), focusing essentially on the soft-tissue aspects associated with an anterior single implant-supported restoration.6 Seven distinct soft-tissue parameters are considered: the presence or absence of mesial and distal papillae, the level and curvature of the line of emergence of the implant restoration from the mucosa at the facial aspect, the facial soft-tissue convexity (in analogy to a root eminence), and the color and texture of the facial marginal periimplant mucosa. Each parameter score can range from 0 to 2, which results in a maximum score of 14. Finally, Belser et al. proposed a five-variable index, termed the White Esthetic Score (WES), focusing on the visible part of the implant restoration itself and usable in combination with the previously reported PES.15

The aim of this prospective case series study was to propose a novel comprehensive method using digitized model casts and a superimposition technique to allow an objective evaluation of the hard- and soft-tissue parameters for both tooth- and implant-supported restorations.

Materials and methods

Any patients requiring all-ceramic restoration of the anterior maxillary teeth were recruited and treated between January 2014 and December 2014. All of the patients were treated in a private dental center in Rome, Italy, by the same clinician (EX). No inclusion or exclusion criteria were considered. Initial photographs and radiographs were taken (Fig. 1). Diagnostic casts were obtained from polyvinyl siloxane impressions (Aquasil Putty DECA and Aquasil Ultra LV/XLV Regular Set, DENTSPLY International, Milford, Del., U.S.) taken with customized light-curing acrylic impression trays (Elite LC Tray, Zhermack, Badia Polesine, Italy) fabricated from preliminary casts. A diagnostic wax-up was performed (Fig. 2) and used to fabricate a silicone guide. Acrylic duplication of the wax-up was performed directly in the patient’s mouth, using the silicone guide (direct mock-up; Fig. 3) in order to test the function and esthetics of the envisioned restorations. Dental preparation was carried out according to a minimally invasive approach (Fig. 4) based on the silicone guide, to avoid over-reducing areas of the teeth. A new cast was obtained for the fabrication of the all-ceramic veneer restorations. The all-ceramic veneer restorations were bonded according to a previously published technique.18 One year after delivery of the definitive restorations (Fig. 5), a new polyvinyl siloxane impression was taken for each patient to allow direct comparison with the pre-treatment scenario. Pre- and post-treatment model casts were poured using a conventional single-pouring technique. Vacuum-mixed, low-expansion (0.09%) Type IV dental stone was vibrated into the impression (CAM-base, Dentona, Dortmund,
Germany). The stone casts were allowed to set for 2 h before separation from the impressions.

The model casts were then scanned using both a cone beam computed tomography (CBCT) scanner (CRANEX 3D, SOREDEX, Tuusula, Finland) with a 1 mm copper filter and a dental scanner based on conoscopic holography technology (NobelProcera Scanner, Nobel Biocare, Kloten, Switzerland), coupled with dedicated software (NobelProcera).

The DICOM and STL files were imported into NobelClinician Software (Nobel Biocare) to perform the superimposition of the two data sets. The DICOM and STL data were automatically matched based on the adjacent teeth and manually checked for a complete match using SmartFusion technology (Figs. 6a & b). The hard- and soft-tissue differences between the two digitized model casts were calculated on 2-D sections taken along the long axes of the restored teeth and along the papillae (Figs. 7a–d). An independent assessor, not previously involved in the study, scanned and measured all of the model casts.

**Results**

Overall, eight patients (two men and six women) with a total of 34 all-ceramic veneer restorations placed in the esthetically sensitive area of the maxilla (between the canines) were followed for at least one year after delivery of the final restorations. Of these, 16 replaced the central incisors, 14 the lateral incisors, and four the canines. One
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year after delivery of the definitive restorations, no complications were observed (fracture, wear, chipping or debonding). Mean soft-tissue levels improved between bonding and the one-year follow-up examination. The mean height of the mesial and distal papillary changes was 0.64 ± 0.31 and 0.47 ± 0.28, respectively.

Discussion

The present clinical study examined a new objective technique to assess the hard- and soft-tissue changes in natural and artificial dentition. The present technique is not intended to replace previously established methods developed to evaluate the esthetic success of a dental treatment. Conversely, the superimposition of CAD model casts may complement techniques that use subjective methods, such as standardized clinical photographs.

The main limitation of the present technique is the spatial resolution of the scanners and that it does not evaluate color. Nevertheless, the study cast evaluation involved a PES/WES evaluation, facilitating the objective appreciation of crown outline, as well as hard- and soft-tissue changes. Esthetics are subjective and linked to the patient, but this technique aims to evaluate the thickness and level of the hard and soft tissue, which is also useful in pre- and postoperative comparison (e.g., bone reconstruction and socket preservation).

The results of the present study showed a mean soft-tissue increase at the level of both the mesial and distal papillae between the pre- and post-treatment situations. A possible explanation could be the re-establishment of the correct contact points and the renewed instructions on proper oral hygiene.

All of the reference studies use subjective indexes and require the capture of a series of photographs to compare the differences between follow-up examinations. If clinical photographs are to provide an accurate record of pre- and postoperative patient appearance, the relative positions of the patient and camera must be kept constant. Perspective distortion may be an unacceptable drawback, especially in comparison of pre- and post-treatment clinical photographs.

CBCT scanning ensures a comprehensive, high-precision scan of both impressions and plaster casts, delivering accurate 3-D models, which can be used immediately or stored for later use. The scanned 3-D model can be exported as a DICOM set or superimposed onto CBCT data to provide an artifact-free model of the patient’s dentition, including the bone, crowns and soft tissue. The DICOM and STL files can be superimposed too, helping the clinician with clinical and treatment planning, as well as allowing for pre- and post-treatment comparison. A straightforward DICOM to STL conversion is easily possible.

Conoscopic holography scanning technology is a valid option for the laboratory digitization of model casts. This technology projects and reflects light beams from the shape of a complex scanned object along the same linear pathway. This collinearity measures steep angles and deep cavities for precision scanning. Furthermore, it allows, in a few minutes, a full simultaneous digitization of a model cast in a single work session without any manual user intervention.
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Superimposition of digitized data using a voxel-based registration method has already been explored in the literature. The main advantage is the generation of digital archive of patients for several purposes, including esthetic analysis.

Conclusion

The technique presented offers an objective evaluation of the hard- and soft-tissue changes over time. This technique could complement previously established methods.
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